DOCUMENTATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL INDICATOR DETERMINATION

Interim Final 2/5/99

RCRA Corrective Action Environmental Indicator (EI) RCRIS code (CA725)

Current Human Exposures Under Control

Facility Name: Osram Sylvania Products, Inc. (formerly GTE Sylvania)

Hawes Street, Towanda, PA 18848 Facility Address:

Facility EPA ID #: PAD 00 304 4609

1.	Has all available relevant/significant information on known and reasonably suspected releases to soil, groundwater, surface water/sediments, and air, subject to RCRA Corrective Action (e.g., from Solid Waste Management Units (SWMU), Regulated Units (RU), and Areas of Concern (AOC)), been considered in this EI determination?					
	X If yes - check here and continue with #2 below.					
	If no - re-evaluate existing data, or					
	if data are not available skip to #6 and enter"IN" (more information needed) status code					

BACKGROUND

1

Definition of Environmental Indicators (for the RCRA Corrective Action)

Environmental Indicators (EI) are measures being used by the RCRA Corrective Action program to go beyond programmatic activity measures (e.g., reports received and approved, etc.) to track changes in the quality of the environment. The two EI developed to-date indicate the quality of the environment in relation to current human exposures to contamination and the migration of contaminated groundwater. An EI for non-human (ecological) receptors is intended to be developed in the future.

Definition of "Current Human Exposures Under Control" EI

A positive "Current Human Exposures Under Control" EI determination ("YE" status code) indicates that there are no "unacceptable" human exposures to "contamination" (i.e., contaminants in concentrations in excess of appropriate risk-based levels) that can be reasonably expected under current land- and groundwater-use conditions (for all "contamination" subject to RCRA corrective action at or from the identified facility (i.e., site-wide)).

Relationship of EI to Final Remedies

While Final remedies remain the long-term objective of the RCRA Corrective Action program the EI are nearterm objectives which are currently being used as Program measures for the Government Performance and Results Act of 1993, GPRA). The "Current Human Exposures Under Control" EI are for reasonably expected human exposures under current land- and groundwater-use conditions ONLY, and do not consider potential future land- or groundwater-use conditions or ecological receptors. The RCRA Corrective Action program's overall mission to protect human health and the environment requires that Final remedies address these issues (i.e., potential future human exposure scenarios, future land and groundwater uses, and ecological receptors).

Duration / Applicability of EI Determinations

EI Determinations status codes should remain in RCRIS national database ONLY as long as they remain true (i.e., RCRIS status codes must be changed when the regulatory authorities become aware of contrary information).

Page 2

2. Are groundwater, soil, surface water, sediments, or air **media** known or reasonably suspected to be "**contaminated**" above appropriately protective risk-based "levels" (applicable promulgated standards, as well as other appropriate standards, guidelines, guidance, or criteria) from releases subject to RCRA Corrective Action (from SWMUs, RUs or AOCs)?

		Yes	<u>No</u>	?	Rationale / Key Contaminants			
Groundwater			_X_					
Air (indoors) ²			_X_					
Surface Soil (e.g	(., <2 ft)		_X_					
Surface Water			_X_		·			
Sediment			_X_		·			
Subsurf. Soil (e.	g., >2 ft)	_X_			residual waste closed in place			
Air (outdoors)	-		_X_					
x_	If no (for all media) - skip to #6, and enter "YE," status code after providing or citing appropriate "levels," and referencing sufficient supporting documentation demonstrating that these "levels" are not exceeded. If yes (for any media) - continue after identifying key contaminants in each "contaminated" medium, citing appropriate "levels" (or provide an explanation for the determination that the medium could pose an unacceptable risk), and referencing supporting documentation.							
	If unkno	wn (for a	any media	a) - skip	to #6 and enter "IN" status code.			

Rationale and Reference(s):

These conclusions are based on file searches of the EPA Region 3 and PADEP- Williamsport offices.

Osram (formerly GTE) Sylvania removed contaminated material from the site throughout the 1990s. On June 14, 1990 PADEP approved the removal of excavated material from unlined lagoons.

In early 1992, GTE identified an area of waste disposal in the western portion of the Facility. These soils were contaminated with beryllium, magnesium, arsenic, and volatile organic compounds (VOCs). Approximately 530 tons soils were removed in 1992 and replaced with clean fill. This remediation was approved by PADEP on 5/24/93.

In 1994, Osram installed an impermeable cap on an Old Tungsten Ore residual waste pile. On March 20, 1995, PADEP approved closure of the Tungsten Ore residual waste pile. Groundwater monitoring of the ore piles continues and the current groundwater pumping system prevents off-site migration of contaminants. No contaminants in the groundwater have been detected above MCLs.

All other releases have been remediated to PADEP's satisfaction.

Footnotes:

¹ "Contamination" and "contaminated" describes media containing contaminants (in any form, NAPL and/or dissolved, vapors, or solids, that are subject to RCRA) in concentrations in excess of appropriately protective risk-based "levels" (for the media, that identify risks within the acceptable risk range).

² Recent evidence (from the Colorado Dept. of Public Health and Environment, and others) suggest that unacceptable indoor air concentrations are more common in structures above groundwater with volatile contaminants than previously believed. This is a rapidly developing field and reviewers are encouraged to look to the latest guidance for the appropriate methods and scale of demonstration necessary to be reasonably certain that indoor air (in structures located above (and adjacent to) groundwater with volatile contaminants) does not present unacceptable risks.

Page 3

3. Are there **complete pathways** between "contamination" and human receptors such that exposures can be reasonably expected under the current (land- and groundwater-use) conditions?

Summary Exposure Pathway Evaluation Table: No Current Exposure Pathways

Potential **Human Receptors** (Under Current Conditions

	Potential <u>Human Receptors</u> (Under Current Conditions)						
"Contaminated" Me	Residents Workers Day-Care Construction Trespassers Recreation Food ³						
Groundwater							
Air (indoors)							
Soil (surface, e.g.,	<2 ft)						
Surface Water							
Sediment							
Soil (subsurface e.g	.,>2 ft)						
Air (outdoors)							
Instructions for Sun	nmary Exposure Pathway Evaluation Table:						
"contamina" 2. enter "y	ut specific Media including Human Receptors' spaces for Media which are not ated") as identified in #2 above. es" or "no" for potential "completeness" under each "Contaminated" Media Human combination (Pathway).						
-	cus the evaluation to the most probable combinations some potential "Contaminated"						
Media - Human Rec	reptor combinations (Pathways) do not have check spaces (""). While these not be probable in most situations they may be possible in some settings and should be						
sk in- ea	If no (pathways are not complete for any contaminated media-receptor combination) - skip to #6, and enter "YE" status code, after explaining and/or referencing condition(s) in-place, whether natural or man-made, preventing a complete exposure pathway from each contaminated medium (e.g., use optional <u>Pathway Evaluation Work Sheet</u> to analyze major pathways).						
	yes (pathways are complete for any "Contaminated" Media - Human Receptor mbination) - continue after providing supporting explanation.						
	unknown (for any "Contaminated" Media - Human Receptor combination) - skip to #6d enter "IN" status code						

Rationale and Reference(s):

The current pump and treat system controls groundwater migration. There is no off-site migration of groundwater and there are no on-site drinking water wells. A residual waste pile was closed in place with a PADEP approved cap (March 20, 1995 approved closure plan). Osram continues to submit quarterly groundwater data to PADEP. These groundwater reports continue to show that all contaminants are below MCLs.

³ Indirect Pathway/Receptor (e.g., vegetables, fruits, crops, meat and dairy products, fish, shellfish, etc.)

Page 4

4.	Can the exposures from any of the complete pathways identified in #3 be reasonably expected to be " significant " (i.e., potentially "unacceptable" because exposures can be reasonably expected to be: 1) greater in magnitude (intensity, frequency and/or duration) than assumed in the derivation of the acceptable "levels" (used to identify the "contamination"); or 2) the combination of exposure magnitude (perhaps even though low) and contaminant concentrations (which may be substantially above the acceptable "levels") could result in greater than acceptable risks)?					
		If no (exposures can not be reasonably expected to be significant (i.e., potentially "unacceptable") for any complete exposure pathway) - skip to #6 and enter "YE" status code after explaining and/or referencing documentation justifying why the exposures (from each of the complete pathways) to "contamination" (identified in #3) are not expected to be "significant."				
		If yes (exposures could be reasonably expected to be "significant" (i.e., potentially "unacceptable") for any complete exposure pathway) - continue after providing a description (of each potentially "unacceptable" exposure pathway) and explaining and/or referencing documentation justifying why the exposures (from each of the remaining complete pathways) to "contamination" (identified in #3) are not expected to be "significant."				
		If unknown (for any complete pathway) - skip to #6 and enter "IN" status code				
	Rationale and R	afaranca(s):				

Rationale and Reference(s):

⁴ If there is any question on whether the identified exposures are "significant" (i.e., potentially "unacceptable") consult a human health Risk Assessment specialist with appropriate education, training and experience.

Page 5

5.	Can the "significant" exposures (identified in #4) be shown to be within acceptable limits?					
		If yes (all "significant" exposures have been shown to be within acceptable limits) - continue and enter "YE" after summarizing <u>and</u> referencing documentation justifying why all "significant" exposures to "contamination" are within acceptable limits (e.g., a site-specific Human Health Risk Assessment).				
		If no (there are current exposures that can be reasonably expected to be "unacceptable")- continue and enter "NO" status code after providing a description of each potentially "unacceptable" exposure.				
		If unknown (for any potentially "unacceptable" exposure) - continue and enter "IN" status code				
	Rationale and Ro	eference(s):				

Page 6

6.	Check the appropriate RCRIS status codes for the Current Human Exposures Under Control EI event code (CA725), and obtain Supervisor (or appropriate Manager) signature and date on the EI determination below (and attach appropriate supporting documentation as well as a map of the facility):							
		YE - Yes, "Current Human Exposures Under Control" has been verified. Based on a review of the information contained in this EI Determination, "Current Human Exposures" are expected to be "Under Control" at the Osram Sylvania Products, Inc. facility, EPA ID # PAD 00 304 4609, located at Hawes Street, in Towanda, PA under current and reasonably expected conditions. This determination will be re-evaluated when the Agency/State becomes aware of significant changes at the facility.						
		" are NOT "Under Control	1."					
	IN - More information is needed to make a determination.							
	Completed by	(signature) Renee Gelblat Remedial Project Manag		Date <u>01-14-02</u> and David Garg r PADEP Williamsport Office				
		(signature) Date 01-14-02 Paul Gotthold PA Operations Branch Chief EPA, Region 3						
	Locations where	References may be found	EPA, R 1650 A Philade PADE 208 W	Facility RCRA Project File CPA, Region 3 650 Arch Street Chiladelphia, PA 19103-2029 and PADEP- Williamsport Office 208 W. Third Street, Suite 101 Williamsport, PA 17701				
	Contact telephone and e-mail numbers:							
	(name) (phone #) (e-mail)	215-814-3421	or	David Garg (570) 327-3652 dgarg@state.pa.us				